

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/291,358	04/14/1999	KENJI MASAKI	325772200960	2014
25227	7590 05/20/2005		EXAM	INER
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			BHATNAGAR, ANAND P	
1650 TYSON	IS BOULEVARD			
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, V	/A 22102		2623	-

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/291,358	MASAKI, KENJI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anand Bhatnagar	2623			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE - External after - If th - If No - Failth - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 rs IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, but reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tition. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>03 January 2005</u> .				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,7-9 and 14-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1,2,7-9 and 14-17</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 18 and 20 is/are rejected.					
7)🛛	Claim(s) 19 is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10)⊠	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 April 1999</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Internati	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachmer —	•					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date	•	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection.

Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Applicant's submission filed on 01/03/05 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see remarks pages 5 and 6, filed 01/03/05, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1, 2, 7-9, and 14-20 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Eschbach (U.S. patent 6,014,469).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Regarding claims 18 and 20: An image processing method which is correction processed for two or more items about a quality of color image data, comprising:

judging a necessity/nonnecessity of correction of image data of a color image individually with respect to two or more of the items regarding the quality of the image data (fig. 7 elements S920-S980, col. 6 lines 45-67, and col. 7 lines 3-35, wherein the contrast and exposure, i.e. read as image data of a color image, are judged to see if image correction is needed); and

performing a correction transaction corresponding to the item about the item judged as a correction being required during judging, unless judged that there is a nonnecessity of correction (fig. 7 element S980, wherein the image is filtered,i.e. read as corrected/enhanced, based on the judgement of the contrast and exposure data of the image.).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1, 2, 7-9, and 14-17 allowed.
- 5. Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2623

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hibino et al. (U.S. patent 5,751,343) for correcting contours in an image, contrast of a image, luminance, etc. (fig. 22).

Toyoda et al. (U.S. patent 5,461,440) for correcting a myriad of variables of an image such as color, sharpness, contrast, etc. (fig. 8).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anand Bhatnagar whose telephone number is (571) 272-7416, whose supervisor is Amelia Au whose number is (571) 272-7414, group fax is 703-872-9306, and Tech center 2600 customer service office number is 703-306-0377.

Anand Bhatnagar

SAMIR AHMED PRIMARY EXAMINED

Art Unit 2623

May 16, 2005